## **Attachment A**

Vonage Outline for Progress on Advanced VoIP E-911 Deployment

## **VONAGE**

## **OUTLINE FOR PROGRESS ON ADVANCED VOIP E-911 DEPLOYMENT**

Vonage has been unable to provide E-911 service for two reasons: first, the incumbent LECs have denied Vonage the ability to interconnect with Selective Routers (the switching equipment that route emergency calls onto trunks dedicated to a particular PSAP); and second, because Vonage lacked the ability to transmit dynamic location information to the PSAPs. Although we have made progress towards resolving these issues with several of the RBOCs over the past few weeks, these solutions are not yet available on a uniform, consistent, nationwide basis, which is necessary to ensure that all Americans using VoIP have access to true E911 emergency services.

When a wireline E911 call is made, the call is sent to the ILEC tandem switch, where the Selective Router directs the call to the PSAP serving the caller based on the caller's telephone number. Except in Rhode Island, the Selective Router is owned and operated by an ILEC as part of the tariffed E-911 service it provides to the PSAP operators. Upon receipt of the call, which includes the caller's telephone number in the call signaling, the PSAP sends a query to the Automatic Location Identification ("ALI") database to retrieve the address (and other pertinent information) of the caller. The ALI database also is usually maintained by the ILEC, but can be maintained by a third party.

Access to the Selective Router is necessary but not sufficient for Vonage to provide E-911 access to its customers. Because VoIP customers can access the service from any location, Vonage faces the problem of providing dynamic, real-time location information to the PSAP. The ALI database was designed to store static location information, on the assumption that users would be "hard-wired" to the telephone network.

Wireless carriers have faced the same problem, and have developed a solution that allows real-time updating of location data using a system known as Non-Call Path Associated Signaling ("NCAS"). Although wireless carriers use a different method to *determine* the location of their callers than Vonage or other VoIP providers, their method of *transmitting* that information to the PSAP is readily extendable to VoIP.

The wireless NCAS system relies on the use of a pseudo-telephone number called the Emergency Service Routing Digit ("ESRD"), Emergency Service Routing Key ("ESRK"), or "pseudo-ANI" ("pANI"). These pANIs look like telephone numbers in the form NPA-211-XXXX or NPA-511-XXXX and are geographically assigned to wireless carriers by the ILEC that operates the Selective Router. When a wireless caller dials 9-1-1, the wireless carrier locates the caller, assigns an appropriate pANI to the call corresponding to that geographic location, and forwards the call, using the pANI instead of the caller's wireless phone number, to the Selective Router. The Selective Router transmits the call to the appropriate PSAP based on the pANI, and

Two other systems, known as Call Associated Signaling ("CAS") and Hybrid CAS are also used by wireless carriers, but these methodologies are not relevant to this discussion.

the PSAP makes the ALI query using the caller's pANI. At the same time that the wireless carrier passes the pANI to the Selective Router, it also stores the actual call-back number and the geographic coordinates of the calling party in its own (or its contractor's) database. When the PSAP query is received at the ALI database, the ALI database "steers" the query to the wireless carrier's (or contractor's) database for the call back number, the cell site information, and geographic coordinates of the originating caller. The ALI database formats this information into the ALI display and passes it on to the PSAP.<sup>2</sup>

An implementation of NCAS for VoIP providers would differ only in how the location of the caller is determined. VoIP providers, at least for now, have to rely on users to register their own locations with the provider. When a 9-1-1 call is placed, the provider would look up the caller's location in the registration database. From that point on, the rest of the NCAS process would be the same: the provider would assign a pANI appropriate to the caller's geographic location and route the call with this pANI to the Selective Router; the Selective Router would direct the call to the correct PSAP; the PSAP would use the pANI to send a query to the ALI database; the ALI database would "steer" the query to the VoIP provider's database, which would send back the actual call-back number and location; and the ALI database would reformat and return this information to the PSAP.

Although several RBOCs recently have committed to give Vonage and other VoIP providers access to their Selective Routers, and in a few cases we have made progress towards obtaining pANI assignments for use with NCAS, these arrangements have had to be negotiated on a state-by-state and carrier-by-carrier basis. In the absence of any clear legal duty to provide this access, some ILECs have been less than cooperative. Their delays and intransigence regrettably have exposed many VoIP users to unnecessary risks in emergency situations.

There clearly is no technical impediment to the access sought by Vonage. All ILECs, to our knowledge, provide access to their Selective Routers to other ILECs and CLECs operating within the geographic areas served by the tandem, and to wireless carriers; indeed, several ILECs also offer access to the Selective Router to end users.<sup>3</sup> Likewise, all ILECs, to our knowledge, are offering NCAS arrangements to wireless carriers.<sup>4</sup>

The FCC has a clear statutory mandate under Section 1 of the Communications Act of 1934 to "promot[e] safety of life and property through the use of wire and radio communica-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> For more detailed information, see Hatfield, Dale N., A Report on Technical and Operational Issues Impacting the Provision of Wireless Enhanced 911 Services, Oct. 15, 2002, at 9-11. This report can be found at http://www.fcc.gov/911/enhanced/reports/

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> See, e.g., Qwest Corporation, Exchange and Network Services Price List, State of Minnesota, Section 9, pages 127 et seq. ("Private Switch Automatic Location Identification" Service); Verizon California Inc., Schedule Cal. P.U.C. No. A-20 ("Private Switch (PS) 9-1-1 - Emergency Telephone Service"); BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc., PSC Ky. Tariff 2A, Section A13.27.8 ("BellSouth 9-1-1 PinPoint Service").

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> See, e.g., Verizon, Wireless Supplement to 9-1-1 Activation Guide, available at <a href="http://www22.verizon.com/wholesale/utils/attach-redirect/?target=/wholesale/attachments/e911/wireless\_guide.doc">http://www22.verizon.com/wholesale/utils/attach-redirect/?target=/wholesale/attachments/e911/wireless\_guide.doc</a>.

tion," and under Section 251(e)(3) and other statutes has plenary authority over the use of the 9-1-1 code for emergency dialing. Furthermore, any refusal by an ILEC to provide VoIP providers access to the Selective Router and to pANIs and other resources associated with E-911 functionality, on the same terms and conditions as they provide such access to themselves, to other LECs, and to wireless carriers, would clearly constitute unreasonable discrimination in violation of Section 202(a) of the Act. In particular, the Commission has long held that a common carrier must demonstrate a persuasive justification for any "use and user" restrictions; *i.e.*, restricting a service to a particular category of user or a particular use, and that mere economic benefit to the carrier is not a reasonable basis for discrimination. In this case, there is no difference between the services sought by Vonage and those already provided to other entities; the only difference is in the regulatory status of the users.

The Commission thus has ample legal authority to require all ILECs, to the extent they operate selective routers or 9-1-1 tandem switches, to offer to VoIP providers the same forms of interconnection to those switches that they provide to any LEC or other telecommunications carrier, on the same terms and conditions. This should specifically include access to pANIs and other signaling codes made available to any telecommunications carrier.

Revision of the Commission's Rules to Ensure Compatibility With Enhanced 911 Emergency Calling Systems; Amendment of Parts 2 and 25 to Implement the Global Mobile Personal Communications by Satellite (GMPCS) Memorandum of Understanding and Arrangements; Petition of the National Telecommunications and Information Administration to Amend Part 25 of the Commission's Rules to Establish Emissions Limits for Mobile and Portable Earth Stations Operating in the 1610-1660.5 MHz Band, Report and Order and Second Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, FCC 03-290, 18 FCC Rcd 25340, paras. 12-14 (2003).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> See Regulatory Policies Concerning Resale and Shared Use of Common Carrier Services and Facilities, 60 FCC 2d 261 (1976) (services offered to end users must also be available to resellers); Petition of First Data Resources, Inc., Regarding the Availability of Feature Group B Access Service to End Users, Memorandum Opinion and Order, 1986 WL 291786 (1986) (services offered to carriers must also be available to end users).

## **Attachment B**

Vonage Customer Notifications, Disclaimers, and Activation Information Concerning 911 Service









